

KINETICS OF THE QUENCHING OF N_2-O_2-NO MIXTURES

V. M. GORBACHEV

Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Siberian Department of the Academy of Sciences of the U. S. S. R., Novosibirsk, U. S. S. R.

(Received February 28, 1978)

A simple method is proposed to estimate nitrogen oxide yield after quenching of the equilibrium mixture, the cooling rate being hyperbolic or exponential.

Kinetic studies of the decomposition of the nitrogen oxide formed during high-temperature combustion of the gas mixture is of high interest both from the practical and the theoretical view [1–5]. However, quantitative approaches to the quenching process of the initial equilibrium mixture are cumbersome owing to time-consuming mathematical computations [1, 6]. In the present paper an attempt is made to demonstrate a simple and universal method for the estimation of the product yield after quenching.

It is known that the decomposition kinetics of NO, according to [1, 6], can be described in the general form by the differential equation

$$d[NO]/dt = k_1[N_2][O_2] - 2k_2[NO]^2 \quad (1)$$

or, taking into account the equilibrium constant K_p for the reversible reaction $N_2 + O_2 \rightleftharpoons 2 NO$, Eq. (1) can be written in the following form:

$$d[NO]/dt = 2k_2(K_p[N_2][O_2] - [NO]^2) \quad (2)$$

where $k_2 = A \exp(-E/RT)$ and $K_p = B \exp(-\Delta H/RT)$. If the thermodynamical equilibrium concentration $[NO]_p^c$ at some high starting temperature T_0 has been determined and rapid cooling of the system to ambient temperature follows, this initial equilibrium concentration $[NO]_p^c$ will decrease to some $[NO]$ value. A solution for this kinetic task at the conditions that $[N_2]$ and $[O_2] \gg [NO]_p^c$, and cooling of the equilibrium mixture proceeds hyperbolically, i.e. $1/T = 1/T_0 + at$, has been given by Zeldovich, Sadovnikov and Frank-Kamenetsky [1] by reducing the kinetic equation (2) to the Rickett-type differential equation

$$dy/dx = x^3(y^2 - x^2) \quad (3)$$

which was subsequently solved by numerical integration.

In the followings let us consider a simple method for solving the problem in question, yielding results sufficiently accurate for practical purposes. Let us mentally assume that the initial mixture $N_2 + O_2$ is heated from ambient temperature

to the temperature T_0 at the identical hyperbolic rate of quenching: $1/T = 1/T_{\text{amb}} - at$. Then the amount of nitrogen oxide formed, leaving apart its partial decomposition, can be expressed by the equation

$$\int_0^{[\text{NO}]_x} d[\text{NO}] = \int_0^t 2k_2 K_p [\text{N}_2][\text{O}_2] dt \quad (4a)$$

or, after integration,

$$[\text{NO}]_x = \frac{2ABR [\text{N}_2][\text{O}_2]}{a(E + \Delta H)} \exp\left(-\frac{E + \Delta H}{RT_0}\right) \quad (4b)$$

Hence the total amount of nitrogen oxide in the dynamic system may *conditionally* be assumed to be equal to $[\text{NO}]_x + [\text{NO}]_p^*$. Simultaneously, the initial equilibrium concentration $[\text{NO}]_p^*$ will be reduced owing to partial decomposition of nitrogen oxide following the equation

$$\int_{[\text{NO}]_p^*}^{[\text{NO}]_y} \frac{d[\text{NO}]}{[\text{NO}]^2} = - \int_0^t 2k_2 dt \quad (5a)$$

or, after integration,

$$\frac{1}{[\text{NO}]_y} = \frac{1}{[\text{NO}]_p^*} + \frac{2AR}{aE} \exp(-E/RT_0). \quad (5b)$$

Finally, the percentage yield of nitrogen oxide, after quenching at the chosen hyperbolic rate, can be estimated by using the following formula:

$$\eta, \% = \frac{\gamma \cdot [\text{NO}]_x + [\text{NO}]_y}{[\text{NO}]_x + [\text{NO}]_p^*} \cdot 100 \approx \frac{[\text{NO}]_x + [\text{NO}]_y}{[\text{NO}]_x + [\text{NO}]_p^*} \cdot 100 \quad (6)$$

where at very high quenching rate $\gamma \rightarrow 1$, and then the factual yield of nitrogen oxide is given by

$$[\text{NO}] = \frac{\eta}{100} [\text{NO}]_p^*$$

To illustrate the above-exposed concept, let us carry out a calculation using starting data from [6]: $T_0 = 2500$ K, $T_0 \gg T_{\text{amb}}$, $a = 10^{-3}$, $[\text{NO}]_p^* = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mol/l, $[\text{N}_2] = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mol/l, $[\text{O}_2] = 2.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mol/l, $K_p = 21.3 \exp\left(-\frac{43000}{RT}\right)$ and $k_2 = 5.4 \cdot 10^{12} \exp\left(-\frac{86000}{RT}\right)$. After the required computations the result obtained is $[\text{NO}]_x = 3.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mol/l, $[\text{NO}]_y = 0.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mol/l, $\eta = 72\%$; according to data from Emanuel and Knorre [6] the yield is 71%.

Let us estimate nitrogen oxide yield by the above concept using the assumption that quenching proceeds exponentially: $T = T_0 \exp(-bt)$ from $T_0 = 2500$ K

to ambient temperature (e.g. 291 K) during the same period of time necessary for hyperbolic quenching $1/T = 1/T_0 + at$ (~ 3 s). The corresponding value of the parameter b will then be 0.716. According to [7] Eqs (4a) and (5a) in the integrated form for exponential quenching are

$$[\text{NO}]_x = \frac{2ABRT_0[\text{N}_2][\text{O}_2]}{b(E + \Delta H + RT_0)} \exp\left(-\frac{E + \Delta H}{RT_0}\right) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{1}{[\text{NO}]_y} = \frac{1}{[\text{NO}]_p} + \frac{2ART_0}{b(E + RT_0)} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT_0}\right). \quad (8)$$

After the required computations: $[\text{NO}]_x = 1.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mol/l, $[\text{NO}]_y = 0.36 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mol/l and $\eta = 84\%$. It may therefore be concluded that exponential quenching rates – *at otherwise equal conditions* – may be more effective than hyperbolic quenching rates.

References

1. YA. B. ZELDOVICH, P. YA. SADOVNIKOV and D. A. FRANK-KAMENETSKY, *Okislenie azota pri gorenii* (Nitrogen oxidation at combustion). Izd. AN SSSR, Moscow, 1947.
2. A. M. MARKEVICH, I. I. TAMM and YU. N. RYABININ, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.*, 33 (1959) 559; 33 (1959) 764.
3. A. M. MARKEVICH, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.*, 51 (1977) 2416.
4. A. B. BABITSKY, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.*, 51 (1977) 2412.
5. N. A. GUREVICH, V. F. STADNIK, A. R. BRUN-TSEKHOVOY, K. E. MAKHORIN, I. YA. SIGAL, B. K. ILENKO and S. S. KURDYUMOV, *Fizika gorenia i vzryva*, 13 (1977) 670.
6. N. M. EMANUEL and D. G. KNORRE, *Kurs khimicheskoy kinetiki* (Course of chemical kinetics). Izd. Vysshaya shkola, Moscow 1969, p. 408.
7. V. M. GORBACHEV, *J. Thermal Anal.*, 11 (1977) 125.